arXiv:1609.08225v1 [math.CA] 27 Sep 2016

THE HAAR SYSTEM AS A SCHAUDER BASIS IN SPACES OF HARDY-SOBOLEV TYPE

GUSTAVO GARRIGÓS ANDREAS SEEGER TINO ULLRICH

Abstract. We show that, for suitable enumerations, the Haar system is a Schauder basis in classical Sobolev spaces on the real line with integrability $1 < p < \infty$ and smoothness $1/p - 1 < s < 1/p$. This complements earlier work by the last two authors on the unconditionality of the Haar system and implies that the Haar system is a conditional Schauder basis for a nonempty open subset of the $(1/p, s)$-diagram. The results extend to (quasi-)Banach spaces of Hardy-Sobolev and Triebel-Lizorkin type in the largest possible range of parameters $1/2 < p < \infty$, $1/p - 1 < s < \min\{1, 1/p\}$.

1. Introduction

Consider the (inhomogeneous) Haar system $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{k,\mu} : k \geq -1, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on the real line. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $h_{-1,\mu}$ be the characteristic function of the interval $[\mu, \mu + 1)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$h_{k,\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2^{-k}\mu \leq x < 2^{-k}(\mu + 1/2) \\ -1 & \text{if } 2^{-k}(\mu + 1/2) \leq x < 2^{-k}(\mu + 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin [2^{-k}\mu, 2^{-k}(\mu + 1)) \,. \end{cases}$$

We consider basis properties of the Haar system in Besov spaces $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$, and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ on the real line (see [1] for general facts about bases in Banach spaces). In the 1970's Triebel [11], [12], showed that the Haar system is an *unconditional basis* on $B^s_{p,q}$ if and only if

$$1/2 < p < \infty,\ 0 < q < \infty \text{ and } 1/p - 1 < s < \min\{1, 1/p\}. \tag{1}$$

More recently, he showed in [15] that it is an unconditional basis on $F^s_{p,q}$ if (1) holds and the additional assumption $1/q - 1 < s < 1/q$ is satisfied. Two of the authors showed in [9], [10] that this additional restriction is in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 46B15, 42C40.

Key words and phrases. Schauder basis, Unconditional bases, Haar system, Sobolev space, Triebel-Lizorkin space.

G.G. was supported in part by grants MTM2013-40945-P and MTM2014-57838-C2-1-P from MINECO (Spain), and grant 19368/PI/14 from Fundación Séneca (Región de Murcia, Spain). A.S. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1500162. T.U. was supported the DFG Emmy-Noether program UL403/1-1.

fact necessary. It was left open whether suitable enumerations of the Haar system, i.e. bijections from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathcal{H}$

$$n \mapsto u_n := h_{k(n),\mu(n)},$$

can form a Schauder basis in $F^s_{p,q}$ in the larger range (1). We shall answer this question affirmatively.

Given an enumeration we let P_N be the orthogonal projection to the subspace spanned by $u_1, \dots, u_N$, i.e.

$$P_N f = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|u_n\|_2^{-2} \langle f, u_n\rangle u_n \,. \tag{2}$$

The sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis on $F^s_{p,q}$ if

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|P_N f - f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} = 0 \tag{3}$$

for any $f \in F^s_{p,q}$. In view of the uniform boundedness principle, density theorems and the result for Besov spaces, (3) follows if we can show that the operators P_N have uniform $F^s_{p,q} \to F^s_{p,q}$ operator norms. Note, that the condition $s < 1/p$ is necessary since the Haar functions need to belong to $F^s_{p,q}$. In the Banach range of parameters the condition $s > 1/p - 1 = -1/p'$ is also necessary due to duality properties of projection operators.

Definition. An enumeration $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, ...\}$ of the Haar system is *admissible* if the following condition holds for each interval $I_\nu = [\nu, \nu+1], \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $u_n = h_{k,\mu}, u_{n'} = h_{k',\mu'}$ for $k < k'$ with $\mathrm{supp}(u_n), \mathrm{supp}(u_{n'}) \subset I_\nu$ then $n < n'$.

The following table shows how to obtain an admissible (natural) enumeration of the Haar system via a diagonalization of the intervals I_ν versus the levels k. We search through the table according to the given enumeration. Being at the position (ν, k) in the table means to enumerate all the Haar functions $h_{k,\mu} \subset I_\nu$ before going to the subsequent table entry and so on and so forth.

$h_{k,\cdot}\backslash I_\nu$	I_0	I_1	I_{-1}	I_2	I_{-2}	...
-1	1	2	4	7	11	
0	3	5	8	12		
1	6	9	13			
2	10	14				
3	15					

FIGURE 1. An admissible enumeration of the Haar system on the real line.

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1/2 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ and $1/p - 1 < s < \min\{1, 1/p\}$. Let further $\mathcal{U} = \{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an admissible enumeration of the Haar system. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is a Schauder basis on $F^s_{p,q}$.*



FIGURE 2. Unconditionality of the Haar system in Hardy-Sobolev spaces

In Figure 2 the trapezoid is the parameter domain for which the Haar system is a Schauder basis in $F^s_{p,q}$ (and in particular the Hardy-Sobolev space H^s_p) while the shaded part represents the parameter domain for which the Haar system is an unconditional basis in H^s_p.

The heart of the matter is a boundedness result for the dyadic averaging operators $\mathbb{E}_N$ given by

$$\mathbb{E}_N f(x) = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{I_{N,\mu}}(x)\, 2^N \int_{I_{N,\mu}} f(t)dt \tag{4}$$

with

$$I_{N,\mu} = [2^{-N}\mu, 2^{-N}(\mu+1)).$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}_N f$ is just the conditional expectation of f with respect to the σ-algebra generated by the dyadic intervals of length 2^{-N}. One sometimes writes $\mathbb{E}_N[f]$ for $\mathbb{E}_N f$. There is a well known relation between the Haar system and the dyadic averaging operators, namely for $N = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$\mathbb{E}_{N+1} f - \mathbb{E}_N f = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^N \langle f, h_{N,\mu}\rangle h_{N,\mu}, \tag{5}$$

i.e. $\mathbb{E}_{N+1} - \mathbb{E}_N$ is the orthogonal projection to the space generated by the Haar functions with Haar frequency 2^N. Indeed it is easy to check from the definitions that for each N, μ one has

$$[\mathbb{E}_{N+1} f - \mathbb{E}_N f]\mathbb{1}_{I_{N,\mu}} = 2^N \langle f, h_{N,\mu}\rangle h_{N,\mu}.$$

Now let η_0 be a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}$ so that η_0 is supported in $(-3/8, 3/8)$ and so that $\eta_0(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in (-1/4, 1/4)$. Let Π_N be defined

by

$$\widehat{\Pi_N f}(\xi) = \eta_0(2^{-N}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi). \tag{6}$$

There is the basic standard inequality (almost immediate from the definition of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces)

$$\sup_N \|\Pi_N f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \le C(p,q,s)\|f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \tag{7}$$

which is valid for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \le \infty$. Moreover, (7) and the fact that $\|\Pi_N g - g\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \to 0$ for Schwartz functions g gives

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\Pi_N f - f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} = 0 \tag{8}$$

if $f \in F^s_{p,q}$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$. The main tool in proving Theorem 1.1 is a similar bound for the operators $\mathbb{E}_N$ which of course follows from the corresponding bound for $\mathbb{E}_N - \Pi_N$. It turns out that the operators $\mathbb{E}_N - \Pi_N$ enjoy better mapping properties in Besov spaces.

We get similar bounds for projection operators to sets of Haar functions with fixed Haar frequency. Define, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequences $a \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$T_N[f,a] = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\mu 2^N \langle f, h_{N,\mu}\rangle h_{N,\mu}. \tag{9}$$

In particular for the choice of $a = (1,1,1,\dots)$ we recover the operator $\mathbb{E}_{N+1} - \mathbb{E}_N$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < p \le \infty$, $0 < r \le \infty$, and $\frac{1}{p} - 1 < s < \min\{\frac{1}{p}, 1\}$. Then there is a constant $C := C(p,r,s) > 0$ such that for all $f \in B^s_{p,\infty}$*

$$\sup_N \|\mathbb{E}_N f - \Pi_N f\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le C\|f\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}}. \tag{10}$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_N \|T_N[f,a]\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \lesssim \|a\|_\infty \|f\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}}. \tag{11}$$

We have the embedding $F^s_{p,q} \subset F^s_{p,\infty} \subset B^s_{p,\infty}$ which we use on the function side. For $r \le p$ we have the embedding $B^s_{p,r} \subset F^s_{p,r}$ (by Minkowski's inequality in $L^{p/r}$) and if also $r < q$ we have $F^s_{p,r} \subset F^s_{p,q}$; these two are used for $\mathbb{E}_N f - \Pi_N f$, or $T_N[f,a]$. In particular we conclude from Theorem 1.2 that $\mathbb{E}_N - \Pi_N$ is bounded on $F^s_{p,q}$, uniformly in N. Hence

Corollary 1.3. *Let $1/p - 1 < s < \min\{1/p, 1\}$, $0 < q \le \infty$. Then*

$$\sup_N \|\mathbb{E}_N f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} + \sup_N \sup_{\|a\|_{\ell^\infty}\le 1} \|T_N[f,a]\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{F^s_{p,q}}. \tag{12}$$

The proofs in this paper use basic principles in the theory of function spaces, such as the L^p inequalities for the Peetre maximal functions. A different approach to Corollary 1.3 via wavelet theory will be used in [3]. The main arguments and the proof of Theorem 1.2 are contained in §2. In §3 we show how estimates in the proof of Theorem 1.2 are used to deduce Theorem 1.1.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We start with some preliminaries on convolution kernels which are used in Littlewood-Paley type decompositions. Let β_0, β be Schwartz functions on the real line, compactly supported in $(-1/2, 1/2)$ such that $|\widehat{\beta_0}(\xi)| > 0$ for $\xi \in (-1, 1)$ and $|\widehat{\beta}(\xi)| > 0$ on $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : 1/8 < |\xi| < 1\}$. Moreover assume β has vanishing moments up to large order

$$M > 2\max\{1, 1/p, 1/q\} \tag{13}$$

so that

$$\int \beta(x) x^n \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, ..., M\,. \tag{14}$$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ let $\beta_k := 2^k \beta(2^k \cdot)$ and $L_k f = \beta_k * f$. We shall use the inequality

$$\|g\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksr} \|L_k g\|_p^r \Big)^{1/r} \tag{15}$$

and apply it to $g = \mathbb{E}_N f - \Pi_N f$. Inequality (15) is of course just one part of a characterization of $B^s_{p,r}$ spaces by sequences of compactly supported kernels (or 'local means'), with sufficient cancellation assumptions, see for example [14, §2.4.6].

Let $\eta_0 \in C_c^\infty$ be as in the introduction (*cf.* (6)), supported on $(-3/8, 3/8)$ such that $\eta_0(\xi) = 1$ on $(-1/4, 1/4)$. Define Λ_0, and Λ_k for $k \geq 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Lambda_0 f}(\xi) &= \frac{\eta_0(\xi)}{\widehat{\beta}_0(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) \\ \widehat{\Lambda_k f}(\xi) &= \frac{\eta_0(2^{-k}\xi) - \eta_0(2^{-k+1}\xi)}{\widehat{\beta}(2^{-k}\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L_j \Lambda_j = Id$ with convergence in $\mathcal{S}'$. Moreover

$$\Pi_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N} L_j \Lambda_j \tag{16}$$

and

$$\sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{js} \|\Lambda_j f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}}\,.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}_N f - \Pi_N f = \sum_{j=0}^{N} (\mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f - L_j \Lambda_j f) + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f$ the asserted estimate (10) will follow from

$$\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksr} \Big\| \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} L_k \mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f \Big\|_p^r \Big)^{1/r} \lesssim \sup_j 2^{js} \|\Lambda_j f\|_p\,. \tag{17}$$

and

$$\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksr}\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{N} L_k(\mathbb{E}_N L_j\Lambda_j f - L_j\Lambda_j f)\Big\|_p^r\Big)^{1/r} \lesssim \sup_j 2^{js}\|\Lambda_j f\|_p\,. \tag{18}$$

We shall use the Peetre maximal functions, a standard tool in the study of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. We define

$$\mathfrak{M}_j g(x) = \sup_{|h|\le 2^{-j+1}} |g(x+h)|\,, \tag{19a}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_j^* g(x) = \sup_{|h|\le 2^{-j+5}} |g(x+h)|\,, \tag{19b}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{A,j}^{**} g(x) = \sup_{h\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{|g(x+h)|}{(1+2^j|h|)^A}\,. \tag{19c}$$

These different versions are introduced for technical purposes in the proof. They satisfy obvious pointwise inequalities,

$$\mathfrak{M}_j g(x) \le \mathfrak{M}_j^* g(x) \le C_A \mathfrak{M}_{A,j}^{**} g(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_j g(x) &\le \inf_{w:|w-x|\le 2^{-j+5}-2^{-j+1}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* g(w)\\ &\le \Big(2^{j-5}\int_{|h|\le 2^{-j+4}} [\mathfrak{M}_j^* g(x+h)]^r dh\Big)^{1/r}, \quad r>0.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Below we shall use ([6], see also [13])

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{A,j}^{**} f_j\|_p \le C_{p,A}\|f_j\|_p,\ 0<p<\infty,\ A>\max\{1,1/p\} \tag{21}$$

provided that

$$\mathrm{supp}(\widehat{f_j}) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \le 2^{j+1}\}. \tag{22}$$

Throughout we shall assume that $M \gg A$; we require specifically

$$\max\{1,1/p,1/q\} < A < M - |s| - 2\,.$$

The main estimates needed in the proof of (17) and (18) are summarized in

Proposition 2.1. *Let $0<p<\infty$. Then the following inequalities hold for $f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\widehat{f})\subset[-2^{j+1},2^{j+1}]$.*

(i) Let $j\ge N+1$, $k\ge N+1$. Then

$$\|L_k\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f]\|_p \lesssim 2^{(j-k)/p}2^{N-j}\|f\|_p\,. \tag{23}$$

(ii) Let $j\le N$, $k\ge N+1$. Then

$$\|L_k(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f)\|_p \lesssim \big[2^{(j-k)/p}2^{j-N} + 2^{(j-k)(M-A)}\big]\|f\|_p. \tag{24}$$

(iii) Let $0\le j,k\le N$. Then

$$\|L_k(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f)\|_p \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{k+j-2N}2^{(N-k)(\frac{1}{p}-1)}\|f\|_p & \text{if } p\le 1,\\ 2^{k+j-2N}\|f\|_p & \text{if } p\ge 1.\end{cases} \tag{25}$$

(iv) Let $j \geq N+1$, $k \leq N$. Then

(26)
$$\|L_k \mathbb{E}_N [L_j f]\|_p \lesssim \begin{cases} \big(2^{k(2-\frac{1}{p})+j(\frac{1}{p}-1)-N} + 2^{(k-j)(M-A)}\big)\|f\|_p & \text{if } p \leq 1, \\ \big(2^{k+j(\frac{1}{p}-1)-\frac{N}{p}} + 2^{(k-j)(M-A)}\big)\|f\|_p & \text{if } p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

(v) The same bounds hold if $L_k \mathbb{E}_N L_j f$ in (i), (iv) and $L_k(\mathbb{E}_N L_j f - L_j f)$ in (ii), (iii) are replaced by $T_N(f,a)$, with $\|a\|_\infty \leq 1$.

We begin with two preliminary lemmata, the first a straightforward estimate for $L_j L_k$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k, j \geq 0$ and suppose that f is locally integrable. Let M be as in* (13) *and $\min\{1, 1/p\} < A < M$. Then*

$$|L_k L_j f(x)| \lesssim 2^{-|k-j|(M-A)} \mathfrak{M}^{**}_{A,\max\{j,k\}} f(x). \tag{27}$$

If $\widehat{f}(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 2^j$ then

$$\|L_k L_j f\|_p \lesssim 2^{-|k-j|(M-A)} \|f\|_p \,.$$

Proof. The second assertion is an immediate consequence of (27), by (21). We have $L_k L_j f = \gamma_{j,k} * f$ where $\gamma_{j,k} = \beta_k * \beta_j$. By symmetry we may assume $k \leq j$. Using the cancellation assumption (14) on the β_j we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_{j,k}(x)| &= \Big| \int 2^k \Big[\beta(2^k(x-y) - \sum_{n=0}^{M} \frac{(2^k y)^n}{n!} \beta^{(n)}(2^k x)\Big] 2^j \beta(2^j y) dy \Big| \\ &= \Big| \int 2^k (2^k y)^{M+1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^M}{M!} \beta^{(M+1)}(2^k(x-sy))\, ds\, 2^j \beta(2^j y) dy \Big| \\ &\lesssim 2^{(k-j)(M+1)} 2^k \mathbb{1}_{[-2^{-k}, 2^{-k}]}(x) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{(j-k)M} |\gamma_{j,k} * f(x)| &\lesssim 2^k \int_{|h| \leq 2^{-k}} |f(x-h)|\, dh \\ &\lesssim 2^k \int_{|h| \leq 2^{-k}} \frac{2^{(j-k)A} |f(x-h)|}{(1+2^j|h|)^A} dh \lesssim 2^{(j-k)A}\, \mathfrak{M}^{**}_{A,j} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (27) holds. □

Lemma 2.3. *(i) Let $k \geq 1$, g be locally integrable and let $N < k$. Then*

$$L_k \mathbb{E}_N g(x) = 0 \text{ if } dist(x, 2^{-N}\mathbb{Z}) > 2^{-k-1}\,. \tag{28}$$

(ii) Let $j \geq 1$, and for $N < j$, let

$$\mathcal{U}_{N,j} = \{y : dist(y, 2^{-N}\mathbb{Z}) \leq 2^{-j-1}\}$$

and $\mathcal{U}^{\complement}_{N,j} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{N,j}$. Then for locally integrable f, $\mathbb{E}_N[L_j(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{U}^{\complement}_{N,j}} f)] = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] = \mathbb{E}_N[L_j(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{U}_{N,j}} f)]. \tag{29}$$

Proof. Both statements follow from the support and cancellation properties of the β_j. □

Proof of Proposition 2.1.

Proof of (23). We now have $j, k \geq N+1$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$|L_k \mathbb{E}_N[L_j f](x)| \lesssim 2^{N-j} \sum_{i=-1,0,1} \mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}(\mu+i)) \text{ for } |x - 2^{-N}\mu| \leq 2^{-k-1},$$

and $L_k \mathbb{E}_N[L_j f](x) = 0$ if $dist(x, 2^{-N}\mathbb{Z}) > 2^{-k}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_k \mathbb{E}_N[L_j f]\|_p &\lesssim \Big(\sum_\mu \int_{2^{-N}\mu - 2^{-k}}^{2^{-N}\mu + 2^{-k}} \Big| \sum_{i=-1}^{1} 2^{N-j} \mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}(\mu+i)) \Big|^p dx \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{N-j} 2^{-k/p} \Big(\sum_\mu \mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}\mu)^p \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{N-j} 2^{(j-k)/p} \Big(\sum_\mu \int_{2^{-N}\mu - 2^{-j}}^{2^{-N}\mu + 2^{-j}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f(x)^p \, dx \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{N-j} 2^{(j-k)/p} \|\mathfrak{M}_j^* f\|_p \lesssim 2^{N-j} 2^{(j-k)/p} \|f\|_p
\end{aligned}$$

which establishes (23). □

Proof of (24). Since now $j \leq N$ we have for $w \in I_{N,\mu}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f](w) - L_j f(w)| \\
&= 2^N \Big| \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \int \big(2^j \beta(2^j(v-y)) - 2^j \beta(2^j(w-y)) \big) f(y) dy \, dv \Big| \\
&= 2^{N+j} \Big| \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \int_{2^j w}^{2^j v} \int f(y) \beta'(t - 2^j y) dy \, dt \, dv \Big| \\
&\leq 2^{N+j} \int_{I_{N,\mu}} dv \, 2^{j-N} \sup_{|h| \leq 2^{-N} + 2^{-j-1}} |f(w+h)| 2^{-j} \|\beta'\|_1 \\
&\lesssim 2^{j-N} \sup_{|h| \leq 2^{-N} + 2^{-j-1}} |f(w+h)|
\end{aligned}$$

and then get

$$\big| \mathbb{E}_N[L_j f](w) - L_j f(w) \big| \lesssim 2^{j-N} \inf_{|u-w| \leq 2^{3-j}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u) \,. \tag{30}$$

Now assume $k \geq N+1$. We evaluate separately the L^p norm over $\mathcal{U}_{N,k}$ and its complement. Note that if $x \in \mathcal{U}_{N,k}^{\complement}$ then $dist(x, 2^{-N}\mathbb{Z}) \geq 2^{-k}$ and thus

$L_k E_N[L_j f](x) = 0$ and

$$\Big(\int_{\mathcal{U}_{N,k-1}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \big|L_k\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f\big)(x)\big|^p dx\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\lesssim \Big(\int \big|L_k L_j f(x)\big|^p dx\Big)^{1/p} \lesssim 2^{-|j-k|(M-A)}\|f\|_p,$$

by Lemma 2.2.

Next, by (30),

$$\Big(\int_{\mathcal{U}_{N,k-1}} \big|L_k\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f\big)(x)\big|^p dx\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\lesssim \Big(2^{-k}\sum_{\mu}\big(2^{j-N}\inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{4-j}}\mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)\big)^p\Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\lesssim 2^{(j-k)/p}2^{j-N}\|\mathfrak{M}_j^* f\|_p \lesssim 2^{(j-k)/p}2^{j-N}\|f\|_p.$$

This completes the proof of (24). □

Proof of (25). Now let $j, k \le N$. We use

$$\int_{I_{N,\mu}} \big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f](y) - L_j f(y)\big)dy = 0$$

to write

$$L_k\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f\big)(x)$$
$$= \sum_{\mu}\int_{I_{N,\mu}} \big(\beta_k(x-y) - \beta_k(x-2^{-N}\mu)\big)\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f](y) - L_j f(y)\big)dy\,.$$

For fixed x only the terms with $|x-2^{-N}\mu| \le 2^{-N}+2^{-k-1}$ are nonzero. Also observe

$$|\beta_k(x-y) - \beta_k(x-2^{-N}\mu)| \lesssim 2^{2k-N} \text{ if } y \in I_{N,\mu}.$$

We use (30) which applies since $j \le N$. Hence

$$|L_k\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f\big)(x)|$$
$$\lesssim 2^{2k-2N}2^{j-N}\sum_{\substack{\mu:\\ |x-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-N}+2^{-k-1}}}\inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{2-j}}\mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)$$
$$\lesssim 2^{2k+j-3N}\max\{1, 2^{(N-k)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\}\Big(\sum_{\substack{\mu:\\ |x-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-k}}}\inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{2-j}}\mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)^p\Big)^{1/p};$$

here we used the embedding $\ell^p \subset \ell^1$ if $p \le 1$ and Hölder's inequality for the μ-summation if $p > 1$. Note that for fixed x the number of terms in the

μ-summation is $O(2^{N-k})$ which yields the additional factor $2^{(N-k)/p'}$. Now we take the L^p-(quasi-)norm and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\int \sum_{\substack{\mu:\\ |x-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-k}}} \inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{2-j}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)^p dx\Big)^{1/p}\\
&\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k} \inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{2-j}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)^p\Big)^{1/p}\\
&\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k}2^{N-j} \inf_{|u-2^{-j}\nu|\le 2^{1-j}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)^p\Big)^{1/p}\\
&\lesssim 2^{(N-k)/p}\|\mathfrak{M}_N^* f\|_p \lesssim 2^{(N-k)/p}\|f\|_p\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We combine the two previous displays to arrive at

$$\big\|L_k\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f]-L_j f\big)\big\|_p \lesssim 2^{2k+j-3N}\max\{1,2^{(N-k)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\}2^{\frac{N-k}{p}}\|f\|_p,$$

and (25) follows. □

Proof of (26). Now we have $k\le N$ and $j\ge N+1$. We write

$$L_k\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] = L_k(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f]-L_j f)+L_kL_j f$$

and use Lemma 2.2 for the estimation of L_kL_jf.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\mu,1}(w) &= f(w)\mathbb{1}_{[2^{-N}\mu-2^{-j-1},2^{-N}\mu+2^{-j-1}]}(w)\\
f_{\mu,2}(w) &= f(w)\mathbb{1}_{[2^{-N}\mu+2^{-j-1},2^{-N}(\mu+1)-2^{-j-1}]}(w)\\
f_{\mu,3}(w) &= f(w)\mathbb{1}_{[2^{-N}(\mu+1)-2^{-j-1},2^{-N}(\mu+1)+2^{-j-1}]}(w)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $f_{\mu,3}=f_{\mu+1,1}$. Observe that

$$L_jf(y) = L_j(f_{\mu,1}+f_{\mu,2}+f_{\mu,3})(y) \text{ for } y\in I_{N,\mu}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_N[L_jf](y) = \mathbb{E}_N[L_j(f_{\mu,1}+f_{\mu,2}+f_{\mu,3})](y) \text{ for } y\in I_{N,\mu}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_N[L_jf_{\mu,2}](y)=0 \text{ for } y\in I_{N,\mu}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k(\mathbb{E}_N[L_jf]-L_jf)(x) = \sum_\mu \int_{I_{N,\mu}} &\beta_k(x-y)\times\\
&\big(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j(f_{\mu,1}+f_{\mu,3})](y)-L_j(f_{\mu,1}+f_{\mu,3})(y)-L_jf_{\mu,2}(y)\big)dy\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any g the integral of $\mathbb{E}_N L_j g - L_j g$ over $I_{N,\mu}$ is zero we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_k(\mathbb{E}_N[L_j f] - L_j f)(x)\\
&= \sum_\mu \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \big[\beta_k(x-y) - \beta(x-2^{-N}\mu)\big]\big[\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_{\mu,1}(y) - L_j f_{\mu,1}(y)\big]dy\\
&+ \sum_\mu \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \big[\beta_k(x-y) - \beta(x-2^{-N}(\mu+1))\big]\big[\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_{\mu,3}(y) - L_j f_{\mu,3}(y)\big]dy\\
&- \sum_\mu \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \beta_k(x-y) L_j f_{\mu,2}(y) dy\\
&:= \sum_\mu \big(I_\mu(x) + II_\mu(x) - III_\mu(x)\big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the three terms separately. Let us start with $\sum_\mu I_\mu(x)$ and split

$$I_\mu(x) = I_{\mu,\mathrm{a}}(x) - I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}}(x)\,,$$

where

$$I_{\mu,\mathrm{a}}(x) = \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \big[\beta_k(x-y) - \beta_k(x-2^{-N}\mu)\big]\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_{\mu,1}(y)\,dy\,, \tag{31a}$$

$$I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}}(x) = \int_{I_{N,\mu}} \big[\beta_k(x-y) - \beta_k(x-2^{-N}\mu)\big] L_j f_{\mu,1}(y)\,dy\,. \tag{31b}$$

We have the pointwise estimate

$$|\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_{\mu,1}(y)| \lesssim 2^{N-j}\mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}\mu), \qquad y \in I_{N,\mu},$$

and therefore

$$I_{\mu,\mathrm{a}}(x) \lesssim 2^{2k-2N}2^{N-j}\mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}\mu).$$

Hence, for $p \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\sum_\mu I_{\mu,\mathrm{a}}\Big\|_p &\lesssim 2^{2k-j-N}\Big(\int \sum_{\substack{\mu:\\ |x-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-k}}} \mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}\mu)^p dx\Big)^{1/p}\\
&\lesssim 2^{2k-j-N}2^{(j-k)/p}\Big(\sum_\mu 2^{-j} \inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-j+3}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f(u)^p\Big)^{1/p}\\
&\lesssim 2^{2k-j-N}2^{(j-k)/p}\|\mathfrak{M}_j^* f\|_p \lesssim 2^{2k-j-N}2^{(j-k)/p}\|f\|_p\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We argue similarly for $p > 1$ but use Hölder's inequality for the μ-summation which produces the additional term $2^{(N-k)/p'}$. Thus in this case

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\| \sum_\mu I_{\mu,\mathrm{a}} \Big\|_p \\
&\lesssim 2^{2k-j-N} 2^{(N-k)/p'} \Big(\int \sum_{\substack{\mu: \\ |x-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-k}}} \mathfrak{M}_j f(2^{-N}\mu)^p dx \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{2k-j-N} 2^{(N-k)/p'} 2^{(j-k)/p} \Big(\sum_\mu 2^{-j} \inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-j+3}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f_{\mu,1}(u)^p \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim 2^{k-j(1-\frac1p)-\frac{N}{p}} \|\mathfrak{M}_j^* f\|_p \lesssim 2^{k-j(1-\frac1p)-\frac{N}{p}} \|f\|_p \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we treat the terms $I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}}$ in (31). We note that $L_j f_{\mu,1}$ is supported on an interval of length 2^{-j+1} centered at $2^{-N}\mu$ and

$$|L_j f_{\mu,1}(y)| \lesssim \inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-j+5}-2^{-j+1}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f_{\mu,1}(u),$$

on this interval. Hence (as in the proof of (25)),

$$|I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}}(x)| \lesssim 2^{2k-2j} \inf_{|u-2^{-N}\mu|\le 2^{-j+4}} \mathfrak{M}_j^* f_{\mu,1}(u),$$

on the support of $L_j f_{\mu,1}$. Arguing as above we obtain

$$\Big\| \sum_\mu I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}} \Big\|_p \lesssim 2^{2k-2j} 2^{(j-k)/p} \|f\|_p, \quad \text{if } p \le 1,$$

and

$$\Big\| \sum_\mu I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}} \Big\|_p \lesssim 2^{2k-2j} 2^{(j-k)/p} 2^{(N-k)/p'} \|f\|_p, \quad \text{if } p \ge 1.$$

Notice that the estimates for $\|\sum I_{\mu,\mathrm{b}}\|_p$ are better by a factor of 2^{N-j} than the estimates for $\|\sum_\mu I_{\mu,\mathrm{a}}\|_p$. Combining the two estimates we get

$$\Big\| \sum_\mu I_\mu \Big\|_p \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{k(2-\frac1p)-j(1-\frac1p)-N} \|f\|_p & \text{for } p \le 1, \\ 2^{k-j(1-\frac1p)-\frac{N}{p}} \|f\|_p & \text{for } p \ge 1. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

The estimates for $\|\sum_\mu II\|_p$ are completely analogous, except that the evaluations of $\mathfrak{M}_j f$ at $2^{-N}\mu$ have to be replaced by evaluations at $2^{-N}(\mu+1)$.

It remains to estimate $\|\sum_\mu III_\mu\|_p$. Let $g := \sum_{\tilde\mu} f_{\tilde\mu,2}$ and notice that by the localization properties of the functions β_j and $f_{\mu,2}$ we have

$$L_j f_{\mu,2}(y) = L_j g(y) \text{ for } y \in I_{N,\mu} \,.$$

Thus $\sum_\mu III_\mu = L_k L_j g$ and since $|g| \le |f|$ we immediately get the bound

$$\Big\| \sum_\mu III_\mu \Big\|_p \lesssim 2^{(k-j)(M-A)} \|f\|_p$$

from Lemma 2.2. Combining the bounds for $\|\sum I_\mu\|_p$, $\|\sum II_\mu\|_p$, $\|\sum III_\mu\|_p$ we get estimate (26).

Finally all proofs for $T_N[f,a]$ are similar if we keep in mind that the integral of $T_N[f,a]$ over each $I_{N,\mu}$ is zero. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2, conclusion. It remains to prove inequalities (17) and (18). By the embedding properties for the sequence spaces ℓ^r it suffices to verify both inequalities for very small r, say

$$r \le \min\{p,1\}. \tag{33}$$

In view of the embedding $\ell^r \hookrightarrow \ell^1$ and Minkowski's inequality (in $L^{p/r}$) it suffices then to prove

$$\sup_N \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksr} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \big\| L_k \mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f \big\|_p^r \Big)^{1/r} \lesssim \sup_j 2^{js} \|\Lambda_j f\|_p \tag{34}$$

and

$$\sup_N \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksr} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \big\| L_k (\mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f - L_j \Lambda_j f) \big\|_p^r \Big)^{1/r} \lesssim \sup_j 2^{js} \|\Lambda_j f\|_p \,. \tag{35}$$

We shall actually prove slightly stronger statements. It turns out that (as a consequence of Proposition 2.1) the bounds for the p-(quasi)-norms on the left hand side of (34) and (35) peak at $j = k = N$, with exponential gains as j, k move away from N. This will allow us to do the summations in (j,k). To be precise, consider positive $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon_1 < \min\{\frac{1}{p} - s,\, 1 - s, 1 + s\}, \tag{36a}$$

$$0 < \varepsilon_2 < \min\{s + 1 - \frac{1}{p}, 1 - s\} \tag{36b}$$

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < 1. \tag{36c}$$

Then we claim

$$2^{ks} 2^{|k-N|\varepsilon_1} \|L_k \mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f\|_p \lesssim 2^{-(j-N)\varepsilon_2} 2^{js} \|\Lambda_j f\|_p, \quad j \ge N+1, \tag{37}$$

and

$$2^{ks} 2^{|k-N|\varepsilon_1} \big\| L_k (\mathbb{E}_N L_j \Lambda_j f - L_j \Lambda_j f) \big\|_p \lesssim 2^{-(N-j)\varepsilon_2} 2^{js} \|\Lambda_j f\|_p, \quad j \le N, \tag{38}$$

where the implicit constants are independent of j, k. Observe that the gain in terms of $2^{-\varepsilon_1|k-N|} 2^{-\varepsilon_2|j-N|}$ in (37) and (38) allows us to sum geometric series and we obtain (34) and (35) as an immediate consequence.

We now prove (37) and (38), i.e. check the statement that if

$$\|f_j\|_p \le 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}(\widehat{f_j}) \subset [-2^j, 2^j] \tag{39}$$

then

$$2^{|k-N|\varepsilon_1} 2^{(j-N)\varepsilon_2} 2^{(k-j)s} \|L_k \mathbb{E}_N L_j f_j\|_p \lesssim 1\,, \quad j \ge N+1, \tag{40}$$

and

$$2^{|k-N|\varepsilon_1} 2^{(N-j)\varepsilon_2} 2^{(k-j)s} \|L_k (\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_j - L_j f_j)\|_p \lesssim 1\,, \quad j \le N\,. \tag{41}$$

These estimates follow quickly from Proposition 2.1. First, part (i) of that proposition gives for $k, j \geq N+1$

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{(k-j)s}2^{(k-N)\varepsilon_1}2^{(j-N)\varepsilon_2}\big\|L_k\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_j\big\|_p \\ &\lesssim 2^{N(1-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}2^{k(s-\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon_1)}2^{j(-s+\frac{1}{p}-1+\varepsilon_2)} \lesssim 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the assumptions $1/p-1+\varepsilon_2 < s$ and $s < 1/p-\varepsilon_1$.

We apply part (iv) of Proposition 2.1 to the terms with $k \leq N$ and $j \geq N+1$. For $p \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{(N-k)\varepsilon_1}2^{(j-N)\varepsilon_2}2^{(k-j)s}\|L_k\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_j\|_p \\ &\lesssim \Big(2^{N(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-1)}2^{k(2-\frac{1}{p}+s-\varepsilon_1)}2^{j(-s+\frac{1}{p}-1+\varepsilon_2)} \\ &\qquad + 2^{N(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}2^{k(M-A+s-\varepsilon_1)}2^{-j(M-A+s-\varepsilon_2)}\Big) \lesssim 1 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $-s-1+1/p+\varepsilon_2 < 0$ and $2+s-1/p-\varepsilon_1 > 1-\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2 > 0$. If $p > 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{(N-k)\varepsilon_1}2^{(j-N)\varepsilon_2}2^{(k-j)s}\big\|L_k\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_j\big\|_p \\ &\lesssim \Big(2^{N(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\frac{1}{p})}2^{k(1+s-\varepsilon_1)}2^{j(\frac{1}{p}-1-s+\varepsilon_2)} \\ &\qquad + 2^{N(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}2^{k(M-A-s-\varepsilon_1)}2^{-j(M-A-s-\varepsilon_2)}\Big) \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $\varepsilon_1 < 1+s$ and again $-s-1+1/p+\varepsilon_2 < 0$. Thus we have verified (40).

To verify (41) we first consider the terms with $j \leq N$ and $k \geq N+1$. We get from part (ii) of Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{(k-N)\varepsilon_1}2^{(N-j)\varepsilon_2}2^{(k-j)s}\|L_k(\mathbb{E}_N L_j f_j - L_j f_j)\|_p \\ &\lesssim \Big(2^{N(\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_1-1)}2^{j(\frac{1}{p}+1-\varepsilon_2-s)}2^{k(s-\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon_1)} \\ &\qquad + 2^{N(\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_1)}2^{j(M-A-s-\varepsilon_2)}2^{k(A-M+s+\varepsilon_1)}\Big) \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the terms with $j \leq N$ and $k \leq N$ we use part (iii) of Proposition 2.1. For $p \leq 1$ we get, using $s < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{(N-k)\varepsilon_1}2^{(N-j)\varepsilon_2}2^{(k-j)s}\|L_k(\mathbb{E}_N L_j\Lambda_j f - L_j\Lambda_j f)\|_p \\ &\lesssim 2^{N(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\frac{1}{p}-3)}2^{j(1-\varepsilon_2-s)}2^{k(2-\varepsilon_1-\frac{1}{p}+s)} \lesssim 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{(N-k)\varepsilon_1}2^{(N-j)\varepsilon_2}2^{(k-j)s}\|L_k(\mathbb{E}_N L_j\Lambda_j f - L_j\Lambda_j f)\|_p \\ &\lesssim 2^{N(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2-2)}2^{k(1-\varepsilon_1+s)}2^{j(1-\varepsilon_2-s)} \lesssim 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

All corresponding estimates for $2^{|k-N|\varepsilon_1}2^{|j-N|\varepsilon_2}2^{(k-j)s}\|L_k(T_N[L_j f, a])\|_p$ are proved in the same way. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

3. Schauder bases

Let P_N be defined as in (2). For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we need to prove $\|P_N f - f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \to 0$ for every $f \in F^s_{p,q}$, $1/p - 1 < s < \min\{1/p, 1\}$, $0 < q < \infty$. We first discuss some preliminaries about localization and pointwise multiplication by characteristic functions of intervals, then prove uniform bounds for the $F^s_{p,q} \to F^s_{p,q}$ operator norms of the P_N and then establish the asserted limiting property.

Preliminaries. For $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ let χ_ν be the characteristic function of $[\nu, \nu+1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \le \infty$ and $1/p - 1 < s < 1/p$*

$$\Big\| \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} \chi_\nu g_\nu \Big\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} \big\| g_\nu \big\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p}$$

and

$$\Big(\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} \big\| f\chi_\nu \big\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p} \lesssim \|f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} .$$

Proof. Let $\varsigma \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ so that ς is supported in $(-1,1)$ and $\sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}} \varsigma(x-\nu) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varsigma_\nu = \varsigma(\cdot - \nu)$. We have for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|g\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \asymp \Big(\sum_{\nu} \big\| \varsigma_\nu g \big\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p}, \tag{42}$$

see [14, 2.4.7] and hence for $1/p - 1 < s < 1/p$

$$\Big\| \sum_{\nu} \chi_\nu g_\nu \Big\|_{F^s_{p,q}} = \Big\| \sum_{\nu'} \varsigma_{\nu'} \sum_{\nu} \chi_\nu g_\nu \Big\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu'} \Big\| \varsigma_{\nu'} \sum_{\nu=\nu'-2}^{\nu'+2} \chi_\nu g_\nu \Big\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p}$$
$$\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu'} \sum_{\nu=\nu'-2}^{\nu'+2} \|g_\nu\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu} \|g_\nu\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p}.$$

Here we have used that $\varsigma_{\nu'}\chi_\nu$ are pointwise multipliers of $F^s_{p,q}$ for $1/p - 1 < s < 1/p$, with uniform bounds in (ν, ν'), see [7, Thm. 4.6.3/1]. This proves the first inequality.

For the second inequality we first observe for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (42)

$$\|f\chi_\nu\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu'} \|f\chi_\nu\varsigma_{\nu'}\|^p_{F^s_{p,q}} \Big)^{1/p},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\sum_\nu \|f\chi_\nu\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p} &\lesssim \Big(\sum_\nu\sum_{\nu'} \|f\chi_\nu\varsigma_{\nu'}\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p}\\ &\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu'}\sum_{|\nu-\nu'|\le 2} \|f\chi_\nu\varsigma_{\nu'}\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p}\\ &\lesssim \Big(\sum_{\nu'} \|f\varsigma_{\nu'}\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p} \lesssim \|f\|_{F^s_{p,q}},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the pointwise multiplier assertion [7, Thm. 4.6.3/1] and then again (42) in the last step. □

Uniform boundedness of the P_N. Observe that by the localization property of the Haar functions we have $P_N f = \sum_\nu \chi_\nu P_N f = \sum_\nu \chi_\nu P_N[f\chi_\nu]$. Thus by Lemma 3.1

$$\|P_N f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} \lesssim \Big(\sum_\nu \big\|P_N[f\chi_\nu]\big\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p}.$$

Since the enumeration of the Haar system is assumed to be admissible we have

$$P_N[f\chi_\nu] = \mathbb{E}_{N_\nu}[f\chi_\nu] + T_{N_\nu}[f\chi_\nu, a^{N,\nu}] \tag{43}$$

for some $N_\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, with $N_\nu \le N$ and appropriate sequences $a^{N,\nu}$ assuming only the values 1 and 0. We remark that for each ν, $N_\nu = N_\nu(N)$ with

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N_\nu(N) = \infty. \tag{44}$$

By Theorem 1.2

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sum_\nu \big\|P_N[f\chi_\nu]\big\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p}\\ \lesssim&\Big(\sum_\nu \big\|\mathbb{E}_{N_\nu}[f\chi_\nu]\big\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p} + \Big(\sum_\nu \big\|T_{N_\nu}[f\chi_\nu, a^{N,\nu}]\big\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p}\\ \lesssim&\Big(\sum_\nu \big\|f\chi_\nu\big\|_{F^s_{p,q}}^p\Big)^{1/p} \lesssim \|f\|_{F^s_{p,q}},\end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we have used Lemma 3.1 again.

Proof of Theorem 1.1, conclusion. Let $f \in F^s_{p,q}$, $1/2 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ and $1/p - 1 < s < \min\{1/p, 1\}$. Let $C = \max\{1, \sup_N \|P_N\|_{F^s_{p,q}\to F^s_{p,q}}\}$. Since Schwartz functions are dense in $F^s_{p,q}$ when $0 < p, q < \infty$ there is $\tilde f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f - \tilde f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} < (3C)^{-1}\epsilon$ and hence $\|P_N f - P_N\tilde f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} < \epsilon/3$. Choose s_1 so that $s < s_1 < 1/p$ then $\tilde f \in B^{s_1}_{p,q} \hookrightarrow F^s_{p,q}$. Since the Haar system is an unconditional basis on $B^{s_1}_{p,q}$ ([15]) we have $\lim_{N\to\infty}\|P_N\tilde f - \tilde f\|_{B^{s_1}_{p,q}} = 0$ and therefore $\lim_{N\to\infty}\|P_N\tilde f - \tilde f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} = 0$. Combining these facts we get

$\|P_N f - f\|_{F^s_{p,q}} < \epsilon$ for sufficiently large N which shows that $P_N f \to f$ in $F^s_{p,q}$. □

Acknowledgment. The authors worked on this paper while participating in the 2016 summer program in Constructive Approximation and Harmonic Analysis at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. They would like to thank the organizers of the program for providing a pleasant and fruitful research atmosphere.

References

[1] F. Albiac, N. Kalton. *Topics in Banach space theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 233. Springer, New York, 2006.
[2] C. Fefferman, E.M. Stein. Some maximal inequalities. Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971) 107–115.
[3] G. Garrigós, A. Seeger, T. Ullrich. On uniform boundedness of dyadic averaging operators in spaces of Hardy-Sobolev type, preprint, 2016.
[4] A. Haar. Zur Theorie der orthogonalen Funktionensysteme. Math. Ann. 69 (1910), 331–371.
[5] J. Marcinkiewicz. Quelques théoremes sur les séries orthogonales. Ann. Sci. Polon. Math. 16 (1937), 84–96.
[6] J. Peetre. On spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin type. Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 123–130.
[7] T. Runst, W. Sickel. *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 3 of de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.
[8] J. Schauder. Eine Eigenschaft der Haarschen Orthogonalsysteme. Math. Z. 28 (1928), 317-320.
[9] A. Seeger, T. Ullrich. Haar projection numbers and failure of unconditional convergence in Sobolev spaces. Math. Z. (2016). doi:10.1007/s00209-016-1697-7.
[10] ———, Lower bounds for Haar projections: Deterministic Examples. Constr. Appr. (2016). doi:10.1007/s00365-016-9353-3.
[11] H. Triebel. Über die Existenz von Schauderbasen in Sobolev-Besov-Räumen. Isomorphiebeziehungen. Studia Math. 46 (1973), 83–100.
[12] ———. On Haar bases in Besov spaces. Serdica 4 (1978), no. 4, 330–343.
[13] ———. *Theory of function spaces.* Monographs in Mathematics, 78. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
[14] ———. *Theory of function spaces II.* Monographs in Mathematics, 84. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
[15] ———. *Bases in function spaces, sampling, discrepancy, numerical integration.* EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 11. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2010.

Gustavo Garrigós, Department of Mathematics, University of Murcia, 30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain

E-mail address: gustavo.garrigos@um.es

Andreas Seeger, Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI, 53706, USA

E-mail address: seeger@math.wisc.edu

Tino Ullrich, Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Endenicher Allee 62, 53115 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: tino.ullrich@hcm.uni-bonn.de